

IMMUNOLOGY & VACCINOLOGY

IMM-029

FIELD EVALUATION OF ID AND IM PRRSV MLV VACCINATION IN SUCKLING PIGLETS ON HEALTH AND PERFORMANCE

G. Maragkakis¹, <u>V. Papatsiros</u>¹, S. Chaintoutis², D. Maes³, E. Tzika⁴, P. Tassis⁴, M. Kargaridis⁵, G. Christodoulopoulos⁶.

¹ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine- University of Thessaly, Clinic of Medicine, Karditsa, Greece; ² Faculty of Veterinary Medicine- Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Diagnostic Laboratory, Thessaloniki, Greece; ³ Ghent University, Department of Obstetrics- reproduction and herd health, Merelbeke, Belgium; ⁴ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine-Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Farm Animals Clinic, Thessaloniki, Greece; ⁵ MSD Animal Health, Swine Department, Athens, Greece; ⁶ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine-University of Thessaly, Clinic of Medicine, Karditsa, Greece.

Introduction

PRRSV vaccination is a potential strategy to reduce not only the virus shedding, but also the severity of respiratory signs of growing/finishing pigs. This field study evaluated the ID and IM vaccination in suckling piglets.

Material & Methods

The study included 187 piglets (2 weeks-wks) from a PRRSV-positive farm (11-12 x 4 groups x 4 replicates); group A: IM-vac with Porcilis®PRRS at 2wks, group B: ID- vac with Porcilis®PRRS at 2wks, group C: ID placebo, group D: IM placebo. Indicate when they were vaccinated. Blood samples were collected at 4, 7, 10, 13, 17 and 21 wks. Sera were examined by qRT-PCR for PRRSV (types 1 and 2) and by ELISA for PRRSV Abs. Local/systemic reactions, performance parameters (ADG), mortality, lung lesion (LLS) and pleurisy score (PS) were recorded.

Results

Based on qRT-PCR Ct results (0=negative, 1=weak positive-Ct \ge 35, 2=positive-Ct<35, \ge 25, 3=strong positive-Ct<25) category 3 was more frequent in non-vaccinated groups at 7, 10 and 13 wks. The analysis of ELISA and qRT-PCR results indicated that the ID or IM vaccination induces important seroconversion 2-5 wks after vaccination.

No local/systemic reactions were observed. ADG from admission of vaccination until slaughter was statistically improved in group B (A:0.75 \pm 0.01, B:0.74 \pm 0.01, C:0.72 \pm 0.01, D:0.71 \pm 0.01; BvsC-p=0.043, BvsD-p=0.043). The mortality rate at finishing stage (A:4.3%, B:2.3%, C:17.5%, D:17.9%; AvsC-p=0.039, AvsD-p=0.039, BvsC-p=0.015, BvsD-p=0.015) and totally from nursery to finishing stage (A:6.3%, B:8.5%, C:28.3%, D:32%; AvsC-p=0.002, AvsD-p=0.002, BvsC-p=0.007, BVsD-p=0.005), as well as the LLS (A:7.76 \pm 2.89, B:7.57 \pm 2.99, C:11.44 \pm 6.43, D:11.84 \pm 7.07; AVsC-p=0.001, AVsD-p=0.002, BVsC-p=0.001, BVsD-p=0.001) and PS (A:0.06 \pm 0.25, B:0.06 \pm 0.32, C:0.46 \pm 0.75, D:0.45 \pm 0.77; AVsC-p=0.001, AVsD-p=0.002, BVsC-p=0.001) were significantly lower in vaccinated groups.

Discussion & Conclusion

Both ID and IM vaccination against PRRSV leads to a significant decrease of mortality rate and of respiratory disorders compared to non-vaccination. Moreover, ID vaccination has beneficial effects on protection against PRRSV viremia, equally with IM vaccination.